

Heat kernel asymptotics with mixed boundary conditions

Thomas P. Branson, Peter B. Gilkey*,
Klaus Kirsten[†], and Dmitri V. Vassilevich[‡]

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We calculate the coefficient a_5 of the heat kernel asymptotics for an operator of Laplace type with mixed boundary conditions on a general compact manifold.

1 Introduction

Let V be a vector bundle over a smooth compact Riemannian manifold M of dimension m with smooth boundary ∂M . Let D be a second order operator of Laplace type on $C^\infty(V)$; this means the leading symbol of D is given by the metric tensor, or equivalently, that D has the form given in equations (1) and (2) below. Note that many natural second order operators which arise in applications are of Laplace type. If the boundary is non-empty, then we must impose suitable boundary conditions. We assume given a decomposition $V|_{\partial M} = V_N \oplus V_D$; extend the decomposition to be parallel with respect to the normal geodesic rays on a collared neighborhood of the boundary. Let S be an auxiliary endomorphism of the bundle V_N and let $\phi_{,m}$

*Research partially supported by the ESI (Austria), IHES (France), and the NSF (USA)

[†]Research partially supported by the DFG under contract number Bo1112/4-2 and the EPSRC under Grant No GR/M45726

[‡]Research partially supported by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation (Germany), ICTP (Italy) and GRACENAS (Russia)

be the covariant derivative of $\phi \in C^\infty(V)$ with respect to the inward unit normal. Decompose $\phi = \phi^N \oplus \phi^D$ and set

$$\mathcal{B}\phi := \phi^D|_{V_D} \oplus (\phi^N_{;m} + S\phi^N)|_{V_N}.$$

Dirichlet boundary conditions correspond to vanishing V_N ; Robin (i.e. modified Neumann) boundary conditions correspond to vanishing V_D . Let $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the realization of D ; $\phi \in \text{Domain}(D_{\mathcal{B}})$ if and only if $\mathcal{B}\phi = 0$. This is an elliptic boundary value problem and satisfies the Lopatinski-Shapiro condition.

Let F be an auxiliary smooth function on M , for use in localization or ‘smearing’. Let $e^{-tD_{\mathcal{B}}}$ be the fundamental solution of the heat equation; this is trace class on $L^2(V)$ and as $t \downarrow 0$, there is an asymptotic expansion:

$$\text{Tr}_{L^2}(Fe^{-tD_{\mathcal{B}}}) \cong \sum_{n \geq 0} t^{(n-m)/2} a_n(F, D, \mathcal{B});$$

see [11, 12, 16] for details. The invariants $a_n(F, D, \mathcal{B})$ are locally computable in terms of geometric invariants and form the focus of our study.

The study of these invariants can be physically motivated. Consider a field theory in which D defines the quadratic form of the action; see, for example [9]. We note that consistent local boundary conditions for fields of non-zero spin are inevitably mixed ones. The one-loop effective action is (formally) given by $\log \det(D)$. The heat kernel coefficients up to a_m describe ultraviolet divergencies of the theory. The coefficient a_m also defines the scale anomaly. The leading term in the large mass expansion of the effective action is given by a_{m+1} ; the lower coefficients are usually absorbed in renormalizations. Variations of the heat kernel coefficients give vacuum expectation values of currents, see [4] for example. The study of these coefficients is important for renormalization and calculation of anomalies in higher dimensional models [17], and for large mass expansion and calculation of currents in four dimensions.

McKean and Singer [14] studied the invariants a_0 , a_1 , and a_2 . Kennedy et al. [10] studied the invariant a_3 . Moss and Dowker [15] studied a_4 for the scalar Laplacian. Branson and Gilkey [1] determined a_4 in the vector valued case; a minor error in the calculation of two of the coefficients was later corrected by Vassilevich [18]. These results are summarized in Theorem 2.1. Many other authors have also studied these coefficients.

We say the boundary conditions are *pure* if V_N or V_D vanishes. The coefficient a_5 has been determined previously for pure boundary conditions. Branson, Gilkey and Vassilevich [3] studied the special cases of a domain M in flat space and of a curved domain with totally geodesic boundary. Kirsten [13] generalized these results to arbitrary manifolds and boundaries; see also Dowker and Kirsten [6] for related work. We summarize the results of those

papers in Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. The main result of this paper is Theorem 2.4 which gives a_5 for general mixed boundary conditions.

We have decoupled the calculation of a_5 in the general setting into three pieces. The first piece, $\mathcal{A}_5^1$, was computed in [3]; it gives a_5 for pure boundary conditions if the boundary is totally geodesic. The second piece $\mathcal{A}_5^2$ was computed in [13]; it contains the terms involving the second fundamental form which are necessary to deal with the case where the boundary is not totally geodesic [13]. The final piece $\mathcal{A}_5^3$ is computed in this paper; it contains the additional 42 terms which describe the interaction of V_N and V_D for general mixed boundary conditions. Our purpose in this paper is not purely combinatorial; determining these additional coefficients requires us to derive new functorial properties of the invariants which are important in their own right.

In §3, we use invariance theory to show that the computation of a_5 can be decomposed into the three pieces discussed above and to show that the undetermined coefficients w_i describing the interaction of V_N and V_D are universal coefficients which are dimension free. The remainder of the paper is devoted to computing these coefficients; see the cross reference table following Theorem 2.4. We postpone until Appendices A, B, and C the discussion of some combinatorial formulas we shall need.

We use three different technical tools in the proof of Theorem 2.4.

1. **The index theorem.** Let $P : C^\infty(V) \rightarrow C^\infty(W)$ be an elliptic complex of Dirac type. Impose suitable boundary conditions. Let $D := P^*P$ and $\hat{D} := PP^*$ be the associated operators of Laplace type. An observation of Bott shows that with suitable boundary conditions $a_m(1, D, \mathcal{B}) - a_m(1, \hat{D}, \mathcal{B}) = \text{index}(P)$ and $a_n(1, D, \mathcal{B}) - a_n(1, \hat{D}, \mathcal{B}) = 0$ if $n \neq m$; this is often called the local index formula. We shall apply this observation in §4 and in §7.
2. **Conformal variations.** Let $D(\epsilon) = e^{-2\epsilon F} D$. We then have that $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0} a_n(1, D, \mathcal{B}) = (m - n)a_n(F, D, \mathcal{B})$. Furthermore, if $m = n + 2$, then $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0} a_n(e^{-2\epsilon f} F, e^{-2\epsilon f} D, \mathcal{B}) = 0$. We use these identities in §6.
3. **Calculations on the ball.** The invariant a_n was computed for the Laplacians on spinors and on 1-forms on the ball in [5, 7]; we use this computation in §5.

2 Statement of results

If D is an operator of Laplace type, then locally we have:

$$D = -(g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + A^\sigma \partial_\sigma + B). \quad (1)$$

Here $g^{\mu\nu}$ acts by scalar multiplication; A^σ and B are matrix valued. We can also express D covariantly. There is a unique connection ∇ on V and a unique endomorphism E of V so that

$$D = -(\text{Tr}(\nabla^2) + E). \quad (2)$$

Let ω be the local 1-form of the connection ∇ . We may express:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\delta &= \frac{1}{2}g_{\nu\delta}(A^\nu + g^{\mu\sigma}\Gamma_{\mu\sigma}{}^\nu I_V) \text{ and} \\ E &= B - g^{\nu\mu}(\partial_\mu\omega_\nu + \omega_\nu\omega_\mu - \omega_\sigma\Gamma_{\nu\mu}{}^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

If D is the scalar Laplacian, then ∇ is the flat connection and $E = 0$. If $D = d\delta + \delta d$ on the bundle of p forms, then ∇ is Levi-Civita connection and the endomorphism E is given in terms of curvature by the Weitzenböck formulas. Let τ be the scalar curvature. If D is the spin Laplacian, then ∇ is the spin connection, and the Lichnerowicz formula gives $E = -\frac{1}{4}\tau$.

We adopt the following notational conventions to express the asymptotic coefficients a_n in terms of geometrical invariants. Let Roman indices i, j, k , and l range from 1 through the dimension m of the manifold and index a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ for the tangent bundle of the manifold. Let Roman indices a, b, c , and d range from 1 through $m-1$ and index a local orthonormal frame for the tangent bundle of the boundary ∂M . On the boundary, we shall let e_m be the inward unit normal vector field. We shall not introduce bundle indices explicitly. Let $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A})$ be the fiber trace of an endomorphism $\mathcal{A}$ of V . Greek indices will index coordinate frames. We adopt the Einstein convention and sum over repeated indices.

Let R_{ijkl} be the components of the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection, let $\rho_{ij} := R_{ikkk}$ be the components of the Ricci tensor, and let $\tau := \rho_{ii}$ be the scalar curvature. With our sign convention, $R_{1212} = -1$ on the unit sphere S^2 in Euclidean space. Let Ω_{ij} be the endomorphism-valued components of the curvature of the connection ∇ on V . Let Γ be the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection. Let $L_{ab} := (\nabla_{e_a} e_b, e_m) = \Gamma_{abm}$ give the second fundamental form. We use the Levi-Civita connections and the connection ∇ to covariantly differentiate tensors of all types. Let ‘;’ denote multiple covariant differentiation with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of M and let ‘:’ denote multiple tangential covariant differentiation on the boundary with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the boundary; the difference between ‘;’ and ‘:’ is measured by the second fundamental form. Thus, for example, $E_{;a} = E_{:a}$ since there are no tangential indices in E to be differentiated. On the other hand, $E_{;ab} \neq E_{:ab}$ since the index a is also being differentiated. Since L and S are only defined on the boundary, these tensors can only be differentiated tangentially. Let dx and dy be the Riemannian

volume elements on M and on ∂M respectively. Let $f_1 \in C^\infty(M)$ and let $f_2 \in C^\infty(\partial M)$. Let

$$f_1[M] := \int_M f_1(x) dx \text{ and } f_2[\partial M] = \int_{\partial M} f_2(y) dy.$$

Let Π_- be orthogonal projection on V_D and let Π_+ be orthogonal projection on V_N . Let $\chi := \Pi_+ - \Pi_-$; $\chi = +1$ on V_N and $\chi = -1$ on V_D . Extend χ to be parallel along normal geodesic rays. We refer to [1] for the proof of the following result:

Theorem 2.1

1. $a_0(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = (4\pi)^{-m/2} \text{Tr}(F)[M]$.
2. $a_1(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{4}(4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2} \text{Tr}(\chi F)[\partial M]$.
3. $a_2(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{6}(4\pi)^{-m/2} \{ \text{Tr}(6FE + F\tau)[M] + \text{Tr}(2FL_{aa} + 3\chi F_{;m} + 12FS)[\partial M] \}$.
4. $a_3(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{384}(4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2} \text{Tr} \{ F(96\chi E + 16\chi\tau + 8F\chi R_{amam} + (13\Pi_+ - 7\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb} + (2\Pi_+ + 10\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab} + 96SL_{aa} + 192S^2 - 12\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}) + F_{;m}((6\Pi_+ + 30\Pi_-)L_{aa} + 96S) + 24\chi F_{;mm} \} [\partial M]$.
5. $a_4(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{360}(4\pi)^{-m/2} \{ \text{Tr} \{ F(60E_{;ii} + 60\tau E + 180E^2 + 30\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij} + 12\tau_{;ii} + 5\tau^2 - 2\rho_{ij}\rho_{ij} + 2R_{ijkl}R_{ijkl}) \} [M] + \text{Tr} \{ F \{ (240\Pi_+ - 120\Pi_-)E_{;m} + (42\Pi_+ - 18\Pi_-)\tau_{;m} + 24L_{aa;bb} + 0L_{ab;ab} + 120EL_{aa} + 20\tau L_{aa} + 4R_{amam}L_{bb} - 12R_{ambm}L_{ab} + 4R_{abcb}L_{ac} + 0\Omega_{am;a} + \frac{1}{21} \{ (280\Pi_+ + 40\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cc} + (168\Pi_+ - 264\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab}L_{cc} + (224\Pi_+ + 320\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{ac} \} + 720SE + 120S\tau + 0SR_{amam} + 144SL_{aa}L_{bb} + 48SL_{ab}L_{ab} + 480S^2L_{aa} + 480S^3 + 120S_{;aa} + 60\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{am} - 12\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}L_{bb} - 24\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}L_{ab} - 120\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}S \} + F_{;m}(180\chi E + 30\chi\tau + 0R_{amam} + \frac{1}{7} \{ (84\Pi_+ - 180\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb} + (84\Pi_+ + 60\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab} \} + 72SL_{aa} + 240S^2 - 18\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}) + F_{;mm}(24L_{aa} + 120S) + 30\chi F_{;iim} \} [\partial M] \}$.

We introduce some additional notation to discuss a_5 . Let:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^1 := & F\{360\chi E_{;mm} + 1440E_{;m}S \\
& + 720\chi E^2 + 240\chi E_{;aa} + 240\chi\tau E + 48\chi\tau_{;ii} + 20\chi\tau^2 \\
& - 8\chi\rho_{ij}\rho_{ij} + 8\chi R_{ijkl}R_{ijkl} - 120\chi\rho_{mm}E - 20\chi\rho_{mm}\tau \\
& + 480\tau S^2 + 12\chi\tau_{;mm} + 24\chi\rho_{mm;aa} + 15\chi\rho_{mm;mm} \\
& + 270\tau_{;m}S + 120\rho_{mm}S^2 + 960SS_{;aa} + 16\chi R_{ammb}\rho_{ab} \\
& - 17\chi\rho_{mm}\rho_{mm} - 10\chi R_{ammb}R_{ammb} + 2880ES^2 + 1440S^4\} \\
& + F_{;m}\{(\frac{195}{2}\Pi_+ - 60\Pi_-)\tau_{;m} + 240\tau S - 90\rho_{mm}S + 270S_{;aa} \\
& + (630\Pi_+ - 450\Pi_-)E_{;m} + 1440ES + 720S^3\} \\
& + F_{;mm}\{60\chi\tau - 90\chi\rho_{mm} + 360\chi E + 360S^2\} \\
& + 180SF_{;mmm} + 45\chi F_{;mmmm}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_S^-$ denote pure Dirichlet boundary conditions if V_N vanishes; S plays no role here. Similarly let $\mathcal{B}_S^+$ denote Neumann boundary conditions modified by S if V_D vanishes. The following result was proved in [3].

Lemma 2.2 *If the boundary of M is totally geodesic, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
a_5(F, D, \mathcal{B}_S^\pm) = & \frac{1}{5760}(4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2}\text{Tr}\{\mathcal{A}_5^1 + 120F\chi\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} \\
& + (90\Pi_+ + 360\Pi_-)F\Omega_{am}\Omega_{am} + 600FS_{;a}S_{;a}\}[\partial M].
\end{aligned}$$

Next we introduce terms involving the second fundamental form L . Let:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^2 := & F\{(90\Pi_+ + 450\Pi_-)L_{aa}E_{;m} + (\frac{111}{2}\Pi_+ + 42\Pi_-)L_{aa}\tau_{;m} \\
& + 30\Pi_+L_{ab}R_{ammb;m} + 240L_{aa}S_{;bb} + 420L_{ab}S_{;ab} + 390L_{aa;b}S_{;b} \\
& + 480L_{ab;a}S_{;b} + 420L_{aa;bb}S + 60L_{ab;ab}S \\
& + (\frac{487}{16}\Pi_+ + \frac{413}{16}\Pi_-)L_{aa;b}L_{cc;b} + (238\Pi_+ - 58\Pi_-)L_{ab;a}L_{cc;b} \\
& + (\frac{49}{4}\Pi_+ + \frac{11}{4}\Pi_-)L_{ab;a}L_{bc;c} + (\frac{535}{8}\Pi_+ - \frac{355}{8}\Pi_-)L_{ab;c}L_{ab;c} \\
& + (\frac{151}{4}\Pi_+ + \frac{29}{4}\Pi_-)L_{ab;c}L_{ac;b} + (111\Pi_+ - 6\Pi_-)L_{aa;bb}L_{cc} \\
& + (-15\Pi_+ + 30\Pi_-)L_{ab;ab}L_{cc} + (-\frac{15}{2}\Pi_+ + \frac{75}{2}\Pi_-)L_{ab;ac}L_{bc} \\
& + (\frac{945}{4}\Pi_+ - \frac{285}{4}\Pi_-)L_{aa;bc}L_{bc} + (114\Pi_+ - 54\Pi_-)L_{bc;aa}L_{bc} \\
& + 1440L_{aa}SE + 30L_{aa}S\rho_{mm} + 240L_{aa}S\tau - 60L_{ab}\rho_{ab}S \\
& + 180L_{ab}SR_{ammb} + (195\Pi_+ - 105\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb}E \\
& + (30\Pi_+ + 150\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab}E + (\frac{195}{6}\Pi_+ - \frac{105}{6}\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb}\tau \\
& + (5\Pi_+ + 25\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab}\tau + (-\frac{275}{16}\Pi_+ + \frac{215}{16}\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb}\rho_{mm} \\
& + (-\frac{275}{8}\Pi_+ + \frac{215}{8}\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab}\rho_{mm} + (-\Pi_+ - 14\Pi_-)L_{cc}L_{ab}\rho_{ab} \\
& + (\frac{109}{4}\Pi_+ - \frac{49}{4}\Pi_-)L_{cc}L_{ab}R_{ammb} + 16\chi L_{ab}L_{ac}\rho_{bc} \\
& + (\frac{133}{2}\Pi_+ + \frac{47}{2}\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ac}R_{bmmc} - 32\chi L_{ab}L_{cd}R_{acbd} \\
& + \frac{315}{2}L_{cc}L_{ab}L_{ab}S + (\frac{2041}{128}\Pi_+ + \frac{65}{128}\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cc}L_{dd}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +150L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{ac}S + (\frac{417}{32}\Pi_+ + \frac{141}{32}\Pi_-)L_{cc}L_{dd}L_{ab}L_{ab} \\
& +1080L_{aa}L_{bb}S^2 + 360L_{ab}L_{ab}S^2 + (\frac{375}{32}\Pi_+ - \frac{777}{32}\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab}L_{cd}L_{cd} \\
& + \frac{885}{4}L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cc}S + (25\Pi_+ - \frac{17}{2}\Pi_-)L_{dd}L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{ac} \\
& + 2160L_{aa}S^3 + (\frac{231}{8}\Pi_+ + \frac{327}{8}\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{cd}L_{da} \} \\
& + F_{;m} \{ (90\Pi_+ + 450\Pi_-)L_{aa}E + (-\frac{165}{8}\Pi_+ - \frac{255}{8}\Pi_-)L_{aa}\rho_{mm} \\
& + (15\Pi_+ + 75\Pi_-)L_{aa}\tau + 600L_{aa}S^2 + (\frac{1215}{8}\Pi_+ - \frac{315}{8}\Pi_-)L_{aa:bb} \\
& - \frac{45}{4}\chi L_{ab:ab} + (15\Pi_+ - 30\Pi_-)L_{ab}\rho_{ab} \\
& + (-\frac{165}{4}\Pi_+ + \frac{465}{4}\Pi_-)L_{ab}R_{ammb} + \frac{705}{4}L_{aa}L_{bb}S - \frac{75}{2}L_{ab}L_{ab}S \\
& + (\frac{459}{32}\Pi_+ + \frac{495}{32}\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cc} + (\frac{267}{16}\Pi_+ - \frac{1485}{16}\Pi_-)L_{cc}L_{ab}L_{ab} \\
& + (-54\Pi_+ + \frac{225}{2}\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{ac} \} \\
& + F_{;mm} \{ 30L_{aa}S + (\frac{315}{16}\Pi_+ - \frac{1215}{16}\Pi_-)L_{aa}L_{bb} \\
& + (-\frac{645}{8}\Pi_+ + \frac{945}{8}\Pi_-)L_{ab}L_{ab} \\
& + F_{;mmm}(-30\Pi_+ + 105\Pi_-)L_{aa}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following result was proved in [13].

Lemma 2.3 *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned}
a_5(F, D, \mathcal{B}_S^\pm) &= \frac{1}{5760}(4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2} \text{Tr} \{ \mathcal{A}_5^1 + \mathcal{A}_5^2 + 120F\chi\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} \\
&+ (90\Pi_+ + 360\Pi_-)F\Omega_{am}\Omega_{am} + 600FS_{;a}S_{;a} \} [\partial M].
\end{aligned}$$

To generalize Lemma 2.3 to mixed boundary conditions, we introduce the additional terms describing the interaction of V_N and V_D . Let:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^3(\vec{w}) &:= F \{ w_1E^2 + w_2\chi E\chi E + w_3S_{;a}S_{;a} + w_4\chi S_{;a}S_{;a} \\
&+ w_5\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} + w_6\chi\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} + w_7\chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab} + w_8\Omega_{am}\Omega_{am} \\
&+ w_9\chi\Omega_{am}\Omega_{am} + w_{10}\chi\Omega_{am}\chi\Omega_{am} + w_{11}(\Omega_{am}\chi S_{;a} - \Omega_{am}S_{;a}\chi) \\
&+ w_{12}\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{am}L_{cc} + w_{13}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\Omega_{ab} + w_{14}\chi\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\Omega_{ab} \\
&+ w_{15}\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{am;m} + w_{16}\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{ab;b} + w_{17}\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{bm}L_{ab} + w_{18}\chi_{;a}E_{;a} \\
&+ w_{19}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}E + w_{20}\chi\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}E + w_{21}\chi_{;aa}E + w_{22}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}\tau \\
&+ w_{23}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}\rho_{mm} + w_{24}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\rho_{ab} + w_{25}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}R_{mabm} \\
&+ w_{26}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}L_{bb}L_{cc} + w_{27}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}L_{ac}L_{bc} + w_{28}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}L_{cd}L_{cd} \\
&+ w_{29}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}L_{ab}L_{cc} + w_{30}\chi_{;a}S_{;a}L_{cc} + w_{31}\chi_{;a}S_{;b}L_{ab} \\
&+ w_{32}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\chi_{;b} + w_{33}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b} + w_{34}\chi_{;aa}\chi_{;bb} + w_{35}\chi_{;ab}\chi_{;ab} \\
&+ w_{36}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;bb} + w_{37}\chi_{;b}\chi_{;aab} \} + F_{;m} \{ w_{38}\chi_{;a}S_{;a} + w_{39}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}L_{cc} \\
&+ w_{40}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}L_{ab} + w_{41}\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{am} \} + F_{;mm} \{ w_{42}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following Theorem represents the main result of this paper:

Theorem 2.4 *We have*

$$a_5(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{5760} (4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_1^1 + \mathcal{A}_5^2 + \mathcal{A}_5^3(\vec{w}))[\partial M]$$

where the universal constants $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_{42})$ are given by:

$w_1 = -180$	$w_2 = 180$	$w_3 = -120$	$w_4 = 720$	$w_5 = -\frac{105}{4}$
$w_6 = 120$	$w_7 = \frac{105}{4}$	$w_8 = -45$	$w_9 = 180$	$w_{10} = -45$
$w_{11} = 360$	$w_{12} = 45$	$w_{13} = -180$	$w_{14} = 90$	$w_{15} = 90$
$w_{16} = 120$	$w_{17} = 180$	$w_{18} = 300$	$w_{19} = -180$	$w_{20} = -90$
$w_{21} = 240$	$w_{22} = -30$	$w_{23} = 0$	$w_{24} = -60$	$w_{25} = 30$
$w_{26} = -\frac{675}{32}$	$w_{27} = -\frac{75}{4}$	$w_{28} = -\frac{195}{16}$	$w_{29} = -\frac{675}{8}$	$w_{30} = -330$
$w_{31} = -300$	$w_{32} = \frac{15}{4}$	$w_{33} = \frac{15}{8}$	$w_{34} = -\frac{15}{4}$	$w_{35} = -\frac{105}{2}$
$w_{36} = -15$	$w_{37} = -\frac{135}{2}$	$w_{38} = -210$	$w_{39} = -\frac{165}{16}$	$w_{40} = -\frac{405}{8}$
$w_{41} = 135$	$w_{42} = -30$			

The remainder of this paper is devoted to the evaluation of these constants; the various coefficients are determined in the following sections:

w_1	§4	w_2	§4	w_3	§4	w_4	§4	w_5	§7	w_6	§3
w_7	§7	w_8	§4	w_9	§4	w_{10}	§4	w_{11}	§4	w_{12}	§7
w_{13}	§7	w_{14}	§7	w_{15}	§4	w_{16}	§6	w_{17}	§7	w_{18}	§6
w_{19}	§3	w_{20}	§4	w_{21}	§6	w_{22}	§3	w_{23}	§6	w_{24}	§6
w_{25}	§6	w_{26}	§5	w_{27}	§6	w_{28}	§6	w_{29}	§6	w_{30}	§6
w_{31}	§6	w_{32}	§6	w_{33}	§6	w_{34}	§6	w_{35}	§6	w_{36}	§6
w_{37}	§6	w_{38}	§6	w_{39}	§6	w_{40}	§6	w_{41}	§7	w_{42}	§6

3 Invariance theory

The main result of this section is the following technical result.

Lemma 3.1 *There exist universal constants w_i so that*

$$a_5(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{5760} (4\pi)^{-(m-1)/2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_1^1 + \mathcal{A}_5^2 + \mathcal{A}_5^3(\vec{w}))[\partial M].$$

Let $N^\nu(F) = F_{;m\dots m}$ be the ν^{th} normal covariant derivative of the smearing function F . Let R_{ijkl} , Ω_{ij} , and E have order 2; let L_{ab} and S have order 1, and let χ have order 0. We increase the order by 1 for every explicit covariant derivative. The following Lemma is proved in [1] and summarizes some of the properties of the invariants a_n that we shall need.

Lemma 3.2

1. There exists an invariant local formula $a_n^M = a_n^M(x, D)$ on M which is homogeneous of order n and which vanishes if n is odd, and there exist invariant local formulas $a_{n,\nu}^{\partial M} = a_{n,\nu}^{\partial M}(y, D, \mathcal{B})$ on ∂M which are homogeneous of order $n - \nu - 1$ so that

$$a_n(F, D, \mathcal{B}) = \text{Tr}(F a_n^M)[M] + \sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \text{Tr}(N^\nu(F) a_{n,\nu}^{\partial M})[\partial M].$$

2. Let $M = M_1 \times M_2$ for M_1 closed. Let $D = D_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^M(x, D) &= \sum_{p+q=n} a_p^{M_1}(x_1, D_1) a_q^{M_2}(x_2, D_2) \text{ and} \\ a_{n,\nu}^{\partial M}(y, D, \mathcal{B}) &= \sum_{p+q=n} a_p^{M_1}(x_1, D_1) a_{q,\nu}^{\partial M_2}(y_2, D_2, \mathcal{B}). \end{aligned}$$

3. Let $D = D_1 \oplus D_2$ over M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^M(x, D) &= a_n^M(x, D_1) + a_n^M(x, D_2) \text{ and} \\ a_{n,\nu}^{\partial M}(y, D, \mathcal{B}) &= a_{n,\nu}^{\partial M}(y, D_1, \mathcal{B}) + a_{n,\nu}^{\partial M}(y, D_2, \mathcal{B}). \end{aligned}$$

4. We have the following variational formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} a_{m-2}(e^{-2\epsilon f} F, e^{-2\epsilon f} D, \mathcal{B}) &= 0 \text{ and} \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} a_n(1, e^{-2\epsilon F} D, \mathcal{B}) &= (m - n) a_n(F, D, \mathcal{B}). \end{aligned}$$

5. Let $P : C^\infty(V_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(V_2)$ be an elliptic complex of Dirac type. Let $D := P^*P$ and let $\hat{D} := PP^*$ be the associated operators of Laplace type. With suitable boundary conditions, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_m(1, D, \mathcal{B}) - a_m(1, \hat{D}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}) &= \text{index}(P) \text{ and} \\ a_n(1, D, \mathcal{B}) - a_n(1, \hat{D}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}) &= 0 \text{ if } n \neq m. \end{aligned}$$

The invariants which do not involve the interaction between V_D and V_N were determined in [3, 13]. There are no interior invariants since 5 is odd. To complete the proof of Lemma 3.1, we must exhibit a spanning set for the space of boundary invariants which are homogeneous of order 4; assertion 2 and 3 of Lemma 3.2 show that the constants w_i are dimension free. We can eliminate some terms from consideration:

Lemma 3.3 *Let X be an arbitrary scalar monomial.*

1. The following invariants do not appear in a_5 : $X\chi\chi_{:a}E_{:a}$, $\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:abb}$, $\Omega_{am}(S_{:a}\chi + \chi S_{:a})$, $\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}X_{ab}$, $\Omega_{am}S_{:a}$, $F_{;m}\chi\chi_{:a}S_{:a}$, $(\chi_{:aa}\chi - \chi\chi_{:aa})E$, $X_{ij}\chi_{:a}\Omega_{kl}$, and $\chi_{:a}\Omega_{jk;i}$.

2. The following invariants are linear combinations of the invariants defining $\mathcal{A}_5^3$: $\chi\chi_{:aa}\chi_{:bb}$, $\chi\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab}$, $\chi\chi_{:aa}\chi\chi_{:bb}$, $\chi\chi_{:ab}\chi\chi_{:ab}$, $\chi\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}$, $\chi\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:b}\chi_{:a}$, and $\chi\chi_{:aa}\chi_{:b}\chi_{:b}$.
3. We have the following relations: $\text{Tr}(\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}) = 0$, $\text{Tr}(\chi\chi_{:a}X_a) = 0$, $(\text{Tr}\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}X) = 0$, $\text{Tr}(\chi_{:ab}X_{ab}) = 0$, and $\text{Tr}(\chi_{:a}X_a) = 0$.

Proof: We use arguments of [2] to prove the first assertion. Let the smearing function F be real. Suppose that the bundle V , the operator D , and the endomorphisms S and χ are real. It then follows that $\text{Tr}(Fe^{-tD_{\mathcal{B}}})$ is real. Thus all the coefficients must be real. Suppose next that V is complex, that ∇ is Hermitian, and that E , S , and χ are self-adjoint. Then the operator $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ is self-adjoint and again $\text{Tr}(Fe^{-tD_{\mathcal{B}}})$ is real. The curvature tensor Ω_{ij} is anti-Hermitian as it is a commutator of two covariant derivatives. The terms in the first assertion have purely imaginary trace and thus do will not appear in the formula for $a_5^{\partial M}$.

Let $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$ be the anti-commutator. We differentiate the identity $\chi^2 = 1$ to see

$$\begin{aligned}\{\chi, \chi_{:a}\} &= 0, & \{\chi_{:ab}, \chi\} + \{\chi_{:a}, \chi_{:b}\} &= 0, \\ \{\chi_{:aab}, \chi\} + \{\chi_{:aa}, \chi_{:b}\} + 2\{\chi_{:ab}, \chi_{:a}\} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

We use the Ricci identity to see that, at the cost of getting additional terms involving Ω , the fourth derivative of χ can be reduced to terms with lower derivatives. Thus

$$\{\chi_{:aabb}, \chi\} + (\text{fewer derivatives in } \chi) = 0.$$

We differentiate the relation $\chi S = S\chi = S$ to see that:

$$\begin{aligned}S\chi_{:a} + S_{:a}\chi &= S_{:a} = \chi_{:a}S + \chi S_{:a} \\ S_{:ab} &= S\chi_{:ab} + S_{:a}\chi_{:b} + S_{:b}\chi_{:a} + S_{:ab}\chi \\ &= \chi_{:ab}S + \chi_{:a}S_{:b} + \chi_{:b}S_{:a} + \chi S_{:ab}.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

We also observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\chi_{:ab}) &= \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\chi\{\chi, \chi_{:ab}\}) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\chi_{:b}) \\ \text{Tr}(\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:bb}) &= -\text{Tr}(\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\chi_{:b}).\end{aligned}$$

We use Equation (3) to see that we do not need a χ , a $\chi_{:a}$ nor a $\chi_{:aa}$ touching an S . We use the identities $\text{Tr}(\chi\chi_{:a}S_{:b}L_{ab}) = 0$ and

$$\text{Tr}(S\chi_{:ab}L_{ab}) = -\text{Tr}(S\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}) = -\text{Tr}(S_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab})$$

together with Equation (3) to see that $\text{Tr}(\chi_{:a}S) = 0$, $\text{Tr}(\chi S_{:b}) = \text{Tr}(S_{:b})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(\chi S_{:a} \chi S_{:a}) &= \text{Tr}(2\chi S_{:a} S_{:a} - S_{:a} S_{:a}), \text{ and} \\ \text{Tr}(\chi S_{:ab} L_{ab}) &= \text{Tr}(S_{:ab} L_{ab} - S \chi_{:ab} L_{ab} - 2S_{:a} \chi_{:b} L_{ab}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(S_{:ab} L_{ab} - S_{:a} \chi_{:b} L_{ab}). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

In addition to the relations of Lemma 3.3, we note that terms obtained by commuting the order of derivatives are controlled by the Ricci identity.

Proof of Lemma 3.1: We have eliminated most of the additional possible terms which might otherwise be thought to appear in the local formula for a_5 . Note that terms of the form $\chi \chi_{:ab} X_{ab}$ are controlled by $\chi_{:a} \chi_{:b} X_{ab}$. There are, however, a few remaining terms to be eliminated. We order these terms by length and complete the proof by eliminating these terms.

1. (Terms of length 2). As Ω_{ab} is antisymmetric, we have $\text{Tr}(\chi_{:ab} \Omega_{ab}) = 0$.
2. (Terms of length 3). We use the Ricci identity to see that

$$\chi \chi_{:ab} \Omega_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \chi (\chi_{:ab} - \chi_{:ba}) \Omega_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \chi [\chi, \Omega_{ab}] \Omega_{ab}.$$

The terms on the right hand side are controlled and thus we may omit $\text{Tr}(\chi \chi_{:ab} \Omega)$ from our list of invariants. A similar argument shows we may omit $\text{Tr}(\chi_{:ab} \chi \Omega_{ab})$ from our list of invariants. We use Lemma 3.3 to exclude the invariant $\chi \chi_{:aa} E - \chi_{:aa} \chi E$. We control the invariant $\chi \chi_{:aa} E + \chi_{:aa} \chi E$ by $\chi_{:a} \chi_{:a} E$.

3. (Terms of length 4). By Equation (3), $\text{Tr}(\chi \chi_{:ab} \chi \Omega_{ab}) = 0$. $\square$

The following relations follow from Lemma 2.3 and from the product formula of assertion 2 of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.4 *We have $w_3 + w_4 = 600$, $w_{19} = -180$, $w_{22} = -30$, $w_5 + w_7 = 0$, and $w_6 = 120$.*

4 Two dimensional calculations

Let D be an operator of Laplace type on the trivial vector bundle over the cylinder $M := S^1 \times [0, 1]$, which we endow with the standard flat metric. We specialize the formula for a_5 to the situation at hand. We set $L = 0$, $R = 0$, $F = 1$, $m = 2$, and $a = b = c = 1$. This yields the expression:

$$a_5(1, D, \mathcal{B}) = 5760^{-1} (4\pi)^{-1/2} \text{Tr}\{360 \chi E_{;22} + 1440 E_{;2} S + 720 \chi E^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +240\chi E_{:11} + 960SS_{:11} + 2880ES^2 + 1440S^4 + w_1E^2 \\
& +w_2\chi E\chi E + w_3S_{:1}S_{:1} + w_4\chi S_{:1}S_{:1} + w_8\Omega_{12}\Omega_{12} \\
& +w_9\chi\Omega_{12}\Omega_{12} + w_{10}\chi\Omega_{12}\chi\Omega_{12} + w_{11}(\Omega_{12}\chi S_{:1} - \Omega_{12}S_{:1}\chi) \\
& +w_{15}\chi\chi_{:1}\Omega_{12;2} + w_{18}\chi_{:1}E_{:1} + w_{19}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E + w_{20}\chi\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E \\
& +w_{21}\chi_{:11}E + w_{32}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1} + w_{33}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1} + w_{34}\chi_{:11}\chi_{:11} \\
& +w_{35}\chi_{:11}\chi_{:11} + w_{36}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:11} + w_{37}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:111}\}[\partial M].
\end{aligned}$$

We use the relation $w_3 + w_4 = 600$ to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Tr}(960SS_{:11} + w_3S_{:1}S_{:1} + w_4\chi S_{:1}S_{:1})[\partial M] \\
& = \text{Tr}(-360S_{:1}S_{:1} - 2w_4\Pi_-S_{:1}S_{:1})[\partial M].
\end{aligned}$$

We integrate by parts to see $f_{:1}g[\partial M] = -fg_{:1}[\partial M]$. We use this relation to see $\text{Tr}(\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:11})[\partial M] = 0$ and to simplify the expression for a_5 . This shows:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_5(1, D, \mathcal{B}) &= 5760^{-1}(4\pi)^{-1/2}\text{Tr}\{360\chi E_{:22} + 1440E_{:2}S + 720\chi E^2 \\
& +2880ES^2 + 1440S^4 + w_1E^2 + w_2\chi E\chi E - 360S_{:1}S_{:1} \\
& -2w_4\Pi_-S_{:1}S_{:1} + w_8\Omega_{12}\Omega_{12} + w_9\chi\Omega_{12}\Omega_{12} + w_{10}\chi\Omega_{12}\chi\Omega_{12} \\
& +w_{11}(\Omega_{12}\chi S_{:1} - \Omega_{12}S_{:1}\chi) + w_{15}\chi\chi_{:1}\Omega_{12;2} + w_{19}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E \\
& +w_{20}\chi\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E + (240 - w_{18} + w_{21})\chi_{:11}E \\
& +(w_{32} + w_{33})\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1} + (w_{34} + w_{35} - w_{37})\chi_{:11}\chi_{:11}\}[\partial M].
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1 *We have*

$w_1 = -180$	$w_2 = 180$	$w_3 = -120$	$w_4 = 720$
$w_8 = -45$	$w_9 = 180$	$w_{10} = -45$	$w_{11} = 360$
$w_{15} = 90$	$w_{19} = -180$	$w_{20} = -90$	$w_{21} - w_{18} = -60$

Proof: We apply the local index formula of Lemma 3.2 (5). Let i, j , and k be real skew-adjoint 4×4 matrices satisfying the quaternion relations. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
A &:= a_0 + ia_1 + ja_2 + ka_3 \quad \text{for } \vec{a} \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}^3 \\
B &:= b_0 + ib_1 + jb_2 + kb_3 \quad \text{for } \vec{b} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3
\end{aligned}$$

be matrix valued functions on M . Then $A^* = A$ and $B^* = -B$. Let

$$P := i\partial_1 + j\partial_2 + A + B \quad \text{and} \quad P^* := i\partial_1 + j\partial_2 + A - B$$

be operators of Dirac type and let $D := P^*P$ and $\hat{D} := PP^*$ be the associated operators of Laplace type. Let $\chi := \sqrt{-1}i$; we note that $\chi^2 = 1$, $\chi i = i\chi$, and $\chi j = -j\chi$. Let $\Pi_{\pm} := \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \chi)$ be the complementary projections on

the ± 1 eigenspaces of χ . We define admissible boundary operators $\mathcal{B}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ of mixed type which make D and $\hat{D}$ self-adjoint:

$$\mathcal{B}\phi := (\Pi_- \phi)|_{\partial M} \oplus (\Pi_- P\phi)|_{\partial M}, \text{ and } \hat{\mathcal{B}}\phi := (\Pi_- \phi)|_{\partial M} \oplus (\Pi_- P^* \phi)|_{\partial M}.$$

Since P intertwines D and $\hat{D}$ and since the index of this complex is zero,

$$a_n(1, D, \mathcal{B}) = a_n(1, \hat{D}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}).$$

If we interchange B and $-B$, then we interchange the roles of P and P^* and the roles of D and $\hat{D}$. Thus the terms of odd degree in B must vanish in $a_n(1, D, \mathcal{B})$. Let $\dot{A} = \partial_1 A$, $\dot{B} = \partial_1 B$, $\ddot{A} = \partial_2 A$, and $\ddot{B} = \partial_2 B$. We first study the terms which are bilinear in the jets of A and B . These terms change sign if we interchange the roles of D and $\hat{D}$ and hence their coefficient in a_5 must be zero. Let $\mathcal{J} := 2\text{Tr}(I)$ and $\mathcal{K} := 2\sqrt{-1}\text{Tr}(I)$. We use Lemmas A.1-A.3 to obtain the following system of Equations.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2w_8 + 2w_{10} + 2w_{15} & (\tilde{a}_0 \dot{b}_3 \mathcal{J}) \\ 0 &= -2w_8 + 2w_{10} & (\dot{a}_0 \tilde{b}_3 \mathcal{J}) \\ 0 &= -2w_9 + 2(240 - w_{18} + w_{21}) & (\tilde{a}_1 \dot{b}_3 \mathcal{K}) \\ 0 &= -2w_2 - 2w_1 & (\tilde{a}_3 \dot{b}_0 \mathcal{J}) \\ 0 &= -2w_2 + 2w_1 + \frac{1}{2}1440 & (\dot{a}_3 \tilde{b}_0 \mathcal{J}) \\ 0 &= 2(240 - w_{18} + w_{21}) - 360 & (\dot{a}_3 \dot{b}_2 \mathcal{K}) \\ 0 &= 4w_8 + 4w_{10} + 1440 - 12w_{15} & (\tilde{a}_0 a_0 b_2 \mathcal{J}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} w_8 &= -45, \quad w_9 = 180, \quad w_{10} = -45, \quad w_2 = 180, \\ w_1 &= -180, \quad (240 - w_{18} + w_{21}) = 180, \quad w_{15} = 90. \end{aligned}$$

Next we set $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = 0$, we let a_3 be a constant, and we assume $B = B(x_1)$. This yields the following system of Equations we use to complete the proof of Lemma 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 4w_{20} - 6(240 - w_{18} + w_{21}) + 2 \cdot 720 & (\dot{b}_0 b_3^2 \mathcal{K}, b_0 \dot{b}_3 b_3 \mathcal{K}) \\ 0 &= -8w_{20} - 2w_4 + 8(240 - w_{18} + w_{21}) & \\ &\quad + 2(-360) - 2880 + 2 \cdot 1440 & (a_3 b_2^3 \mathcal{K}) \\ 0 &= 4w_8 + 4w_{10} - 4w_{15} & \\ &\quad - 2(-4w_8 + 4w_{10} + 4w_{15} + 4w_{19}) & (\dot{b}_3 b_3 b_1 \mathcal{J}, \dot{b}_1 b_3^2 \mathcal{J}) \\ 0 &= 4w_{19} + \frac{1}{2}2880 - 2w_{11}. \quad \square & (\dot{b}_1 b_2^2 \mathcal{J}) \end{aligned}$$

5 Calculations on the ball

In this section, we compare the results of calculations on the ball performed in [5, 7] with the formula from Theorem 2.4 to establish the following result:

Lemma 5.1 *We have the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
-135 &= 2w_{27} + 4w_{33} + 2w_{35} \\
705 &= 16w_{28} + 16w_{29} - 4w_{31} + 16w_{32} - 16w_{33} + 16w_{34} - 16w_{37} \\
1725 &= 2w_3 + 32w_{26} - 8w_{30} \\
-675 &= 32w_{26} \\
1935 &= 16w_{28} + 16w_{29} - 8w_{30} + 32w_{32} + 32w_{34} + 16w_{35} + 32w_{36} - 32w_{37} \\
585 &= 4w_{27} - 2w_{31} + 8w_{32} + 16w_{33} + 8w_{34} + 12w_{35} - 8w_{36} - 8w_{37}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We start with the Dirac spinors. Let $\gamma_i \in M_{2[m/2]}$ be the Dirac gamma matrices; these satisfy the Clifford commutation relation $\gamma_j \gamma_k + \gamma_k \gamma_j = -2\delta_{kj}$. Let $P = \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu$ be an operator of Dirac type. We assume that the connection is compatible, in other words $\nabla \gamma = 0$, or in index notation, $[\nabla_\mu, \gamma_\nu] = 0$. For m even, let

$$\Gamma^5 := (\sqrt{-1})^{m/2} \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m.$$

We then have $(\Gamma^5)^2 = 1$ and $\Gamma^5 \gamma_j + \gamma_j \Gamma^5 = 0$. We refer to [2] for further information concerning the spectral geometry of the Dirac operator with local boundary conditions. We use formulas from [2]. Consider the boundary value problem for the corresponding Laplacian $D = P^2$. We set

$$\chi := -\Gamma^5 \gamma_m \text{ and } S := -\frac{1}{2} L_{aa} \Pi_+$$

to define admissible boundary conditions. The endomorphism E , the Riemann curvature and Ω are zero. Since $L_{ab;c} = 0$ and $L_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$, we have:

$$\chi_{:a} = L_{ac} \Gamma^5 \gamma_c, \quad \chi_{:ab} = -L_{ac} L_{bc} \chi, \quad S_{:a} = -\frac{1}{4} L_{bb} \chi_{:a}, \quad S_{:ab} = \frac{1}{4} L_{dd} L_{ac} L_{bc} \chi.$$

Dowker, Apps, Kirsten and Bordag showed in [5] that:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_5(1, D, \mathcal{B}_S) &= \frac{2^{-m+1} 2^{m/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \left(-\frac{29(m-1)}{2560} + \frac{91(m-1)^2}{30720} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{11(m-1)^3}{3840} - \frac{89(m-1)^4}{122880} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\text{Vol}(S^{m-1}) = 2\pi^{m/2}/\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})$. We compare coefficients of the powers of $m-1$ in the above equation with the general formula of Theorem 2.4 to establish the first three equations of Lemma 5.1.

Next we study vector fields (or 1-forms) on the unit ball with absolute boundary conditions. For these boundary conditions, χ can be viewed as a matrix acting in the tangent space to M :

$$\chi_{mm} = -1, \quad \chi_{ba} = \delta_{ba}, \text{ and } S = -\Pi_+.$$

As above, $E = 0$, $R = 0$, and $\Omega = 0$. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned}(\chi_{:a})_{mb} &= (\chi_{:a})_{bm} = -2\delta_{ab} \\ (\chi_{:ab})_{mm} &= 4\delta_{ab}, \quad (\chi_{:ab})_{cd} = -2(\delta_{cd}\delta_{ad} + \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd}).\end{aligned}$$

We use [7] (see also [8, 19]) to see the following relationship from which the final assertions of Lemma 5.1 follow:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(4\pi)^{(m-1)/2}}{\text{Vol } S^{m-1}} a_5 &= \frac{1309(m-1)}{15360} - \frac{6313(m-1)^2}{36864} + \frac{6359(m-1)^3}{46080} \\ &\quad - \frac{26587(m-1)^4}{737280} + \frac{2041(m-1)^5}{737280}. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

6 Conformal relations

Lemma 6.1 *We have the following relations:*

$w_{16} = 120$	$w_{18} = 300$	$w_{21} = 240$	$w_{23} = 0$
$w_{24} = -60$	$w_{25} = 30$	$w_{26} = -\frac{675}{32}$	$w_{27} = -\frac{75}{4}$
$w_{28} = -\frac{195}{16}$	$w_{29} = -\frac{675}{8}$	$w_{30} = -330$	$w_{31} = -300$
$w_{32} = \frac{15}{4}$	$w_{33} = \frac{15}{8}$	$w_{34} = -\frac{15}{4}$	$w_{35} = -\frac{105}{2}$
$w_{36} = -15$	$w_{37} = -\frac{135}{2}$	$w_{38} = -210$	$w_{39} = -\frac{165}{16}$
$w_{40} = -\frac{405}{8}$	$w_{42} = -30$		

Proof: We use Lemma 3.2 (4). Consider the variations $D(\epsilon) = e^{-2\epsilon f} D$, $g(\epsilon) = e^{2\epsilon f} g$, and $F(\epsilon) = e^{-2\epsilon f} F$. We set

$$S(\epsilon) = e^{-\epsilon f} \Pi_+ \{ \omega_m(0) - \omega_m(\epsilon) + S \}.$$

to fix the boundary conditions. Let e_i be an orthonormal frame for the tangent and cotangent bundles of M with respect to the reference metric $g(0)$. Let $e_i(\epsilon) = e^{-\epsilon f} e_i$ and $e^i(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon f} e^i$ be the corresponding frames for the metric $g(\epsilon)$. We remark that contraction and differentiation do not commute;

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}(\Phi_{ii}) = \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}\Phi\right)_{ii} - 2f\Phi_{ii}$$

for example. Although the Christoffel symbols Γ are not tensorial, their variation is tensorial. We have the following relations; a more extensive list is given in [3]. Conformal variations of the new invariants are listed in Appendix B.

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}\Gamma\right)_{ij}{}^k &= \delta_{ik}f_{;j} + \delta_{jk}f_{;i} - \delta_{ij}f_{;k}, \\ \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}L\right)_{ab} &= -\delta_{ab}f_{;m} - fL_{ab}, \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}(S) &= -fS + \Pi_+ \frac{1}{2}(m-2)f_{;m}, \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}(E) &= -2fE + \frac{1}{2}(m-2)f_{;ii}, \text{ and} \\ \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}R\right)_{ijkl} &= \delta_{ik}f_{;jl} + \delta_{jl}f_{;ik} - \delta_{il}f_{;jk} - \delta_{jk}f_{;il} + 2fR_{ijkl}.\end{aligned}$$

The following relations are obtained from Lemma 3.2 (4) by comparing coefficients before various terms (listed on the left). Lemma 6.1 follows from these relations.

<u>Term</u>	<u>Coefficient</u>
$F_{\chi:a}E_{:a}$	$0 = 2w_{18} - (m-3)w_{21} - 360 + 1440(m-2) - 240(m-3) - 960(m-1) + 240$
$F_{;m}\chi_{:a}S_{:a}$	$0 = (m-1)w_{30} - \frac{1}{2}(m-2)w_3 + 480(m-2) + w_{31} + (m-5)w_{38}$
$F_{;mm}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}$	$0 = \frac{1}{2}(m-2)w_{19} - 2(m-1)w_{22} - (m-1)w_{23} - w_{24} - w_{25} - (m-5)w_{42}$
$F_{;m}L_{bb}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}$	$0 = \frac{1}{2}(m-2)w_{19} - 2(m-1)w_{22} - w_{23} - w_{24} + w_{29} + 2(m-1)w_{26} + 2w_{28} - \frac{1}{4}(m-2)w_{30} + (m-5)w_{39}$
$F_{\chi:aab}\chi_{:b}$	$0 = (m-2)w_{19} - 4(m-1)w_{22} - 2w_{23} - 2(m-1)w_{24} - 2w_{25} - 2(m-3)w_{34} + 2w_{35} + (m-1)w_{37}$
$F_{\chi:ab}\chi_{:ab}$	$0 = (m-2)w_{19} - 4(m-1)w_{22} - 2w_{23} - mw_{24} - w_{25} + 4w_{35}$
$F_{;m}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}$	$0 = -\frac{1}{4}(m-2)w_{31} - (m-2)w_{24} - w_{25} + 2w_{27} + (m-1)w_{29} + (m-5)w_{40}$
$F_{\chi:aa}\chi_{:bb}$	$0 = -(m-2)w_{24} - w_{25} - 2(m-3)w_{34} - 2w_{35} + (m-1)w_{37}$
$F_{;m}\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}$	$0 = +\frac{1}{2}(m-2)w_{11} - (m-1)w_{12} - 2w_{15} - w_{17} - (m-5)w_{41}$
$F_{\chi:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab}$	$0 = w_{15} + (m-5)w_{16} + 4(m-2)w_{19} - 16(m-1)w_{22} - 8w_{23} - (2m+4)w_{24} - 2w_{25} + 12w_{35}$
$F_{\chi\chi:a}\Omega_{ab:b}$	$0 = -w_{15} - (m-5)w_{16} - 2(m-2)w_{19} + 8(m-1)w_{22} + 4w_{23} + 4w_{24} - 4w_{35}$
$F\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab}$	$0 = -\frac{1}{2}w_{15} - \frac{1}{2}(m-5)w_{16} - (m-2)w_{24} - w_{25} + 2w_{35}$
$f_{;m}F_{;m}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}$	$0 = w_{40} + 5w_{42} + 6w_{39} - \frac{5}{4}w_{38}. \quad \square$

7 Two more index theorem examples

The only remaining universal constants to be determined are the coefficients of the following terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
P := & \frac{1}{2}(w_5 - w_7)F(\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} - \chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab}) + w_{12}F\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}L_{cc} \\
& + w_{13}F_{\chi:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab} + w_{14}F_{\chi\chi:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab} \\
& + w_{17}F_{\chi\chi:a}\Omega_{bm}L_{ab} + w_{41}F_{;m}\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Lemma 7.1 *We have*

$-\frac{1}{2}(w_5 - w_7) = \frac{105}{4}$	$w_{17} = -180$	$w_{12} = -45$
$w_{13} = -180$	$w_{14} = 90$	

Let M be the generalized cylinder $M := S^1 \times S^1 \dots \times S^1 \times [0, 1]$ for m even with the conformally flat metric

$$ds^2 = e^{2f}(dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_m^2).$$

Let γ_i be skew-adjoint matrices satisfying the Clifford relations. Let

$$A := e^{-f}(\gamma_i \partial_i) \text{ and } A^* := e^{-mf}(\gamma_i \partial_i) e^{(m-1)f}.$$

Let $D^{[0]} := A^* A$ and $D^{[1]} := A A^*$ be the associated Laplacians. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^5 &:= (\sqrt{-1})^{m/2} \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m, \quad \chi := -\Gamma^5 \gamma_m \\ \mathcal{B}^{[0]} \phi &:= (\Pi_- \phi)|_{\partial M} \oplus (\Pi_- A \phi)|_{\partial M}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{B}^{[1]} \phi &:= (\Pi_- \phi)|_{\partial M} \oplus (\Pi_- A^* \phi)|_{\partial M}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the local index formula of Lemma 3.2 (5) to see that

$$a_5(1, D^{[0]}, \mathcal{B}^{[0]}) = a_5(1, D^{[1]}, \mathcal{B}^{[1]}).$$

We use this relationship to derive certain additional relations among the coefficients appearing in Equation (4). Let a comma denote ordinary partial differentiation. For simplicity we put $f|_{\partial M} = 0$. This implies also $f_{,a}|_{\partial M} = 0$, but, in general, $f_{,ma}|_{\partial M} \neq 0$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} D^{[1]} &= e^{-2f} \{ -\partial_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} m f_{,i} [\gamma_i, \gamma_j] \partial_j + (2-m) f_{,i} \partial_i \\ &\quad + (m-1) f_{,i} f_{,i} - (m-1) f_{,ii} \}, \\ D^{[0]} &= e^{-2f} \{ -\partial_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} (m-2) f_{,i} [\gamma_i, \gamma_j] \partial_j + (2-m) f_{,i} \partial_i \}, \\ \omega_i^{[1]} &= -\frac{1}{4} m [\gamma_i, \gamma_j] f_{,j}, \\ \Omega_{ij}^{[1]} &= -\frac{1}{4} m \{ f_{,ki} [\gamma_j, \gamma_k] - f_{,kj} [\gamma_i, \gamma_k] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} m^2 \{ -f_{,j} f_{,k} [\gamma_i, \gamma_k] + f_{,i} f_{,k} [\gamma_j, \gamma_k] + f_{,k} f_{,k} [\gamma_i, \gamma_j] \} \}, \\ E^{[1]} &= e^{-2f} (m-1) \{ f_{,ii} + \frac{1}{4} f_{,i} f_{,i} (m^2 - 4) \}, \\ S^{[1]} &= (m-1) f_{,m} \Pi_+, \\ \omega_i^{[0]} &= \frac{1}{4} (m-2) [\gamma_i, \gamma_j] f_{,j}, \\ \Omega_{ij}^{[0]} &= \frac{1}{4} (m-2) \{ f_{,ki} [\gamma_j, \gamma_k] - f_{,kj} [\gamma_i, \gamma_k] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (m-2)^2 \{ -f_{,j} f_{,k} [\gamma_i, \gamma_k] + f_{,i} f_{,k} [\gamma_j, \gamma_k] + f_{,k} f_{,k} [\gamma_i, \gamma_j] \} \}, \\ E^{[0]} &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-2f} (m-1) (m-2)^2 f_{,i} f_{,i}, \text{ and} \\ S^{[0]} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The metric and hence the Riemann tensor is the same for both operators:

$$\begin{aligned} R^i_{jkl} = & f_{,j}f_{,k}\delta_{il} + f_{,i}f_{,l}\delta_{jk} - f_{,j}f_{,l}\delta_{ik} - f_{,i}f_{,k}\delta_{jl} \\ & + f_{,p}f_{,p}(\delta_{jl}\delta_{ik} - \delta_{jk}\delta_{il}). \end{aligned}$$

We can now compute the invariants $a_5(1, D^{[i]}, \mathcal{B}^{[i]})$. In Equation (4), the terms $\frac{1}{2}(w_5 - w_7), w_{12}, w_{13}$ and w_{17} contribute to a_5 in this setting. The invariants that appear in these contributions are $f_{,am}f_{,am}, f_{,mm}f_{,m}^2, f_{,m}^4$, and thus only terms of these types need to be kept during the calculation. In Appendix C we have listed all contributions appearing in $a_5(1, D^{[1]}, \mathcal{B}^{[1]}) - a_5(1, D^{[0]}, \mathcal{B}^{[0]})$. We therefore have the relations:

<u>Term</u>	<u>Coefficient</u>	
$f_{,am}f_{,am}$	$0 = 105 + 4(1/2)(w_5 - w_7)$	(5)
$f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$	$0 = 135 - w_{12} + w_{17} + m(45 + w_{12})$	
$f_{,m}^4$	$0 = 180 + w_{13}.$	

We use Lemma 3.4 to determine w_5 and w_7 ; w_{41} is now determined by the conformal relation of the previous section. This determines all the coefficients but w_{14} .

Let M be as in Lemma 6.1, let $A = \gamma_i(\partial_i + \chi f_i)$, let f_m be imaginary, and f_a be real. It is obvious that $a_5(1, A^*A) = a_5(1, AA^*)$. Since $A^* = \gamma_i(\partial_i - \chi f_i)$, the heat kernel for AA^* is obtained from that for A^*A by changing sign before f_i . Therefore, all coefficients before odd powers of f_i must vanish. Thus the coefficient before $f_m^2 f_{a,a}$ in $a_5(1, A^*A)$ is zero. As above, $S = 0$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_a &= \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_b, \gamma_a]\chi f_b - \gamma_a\gamma_m\chi f_m \\ \omega_m &= \chi f_m \\ \Omega_{am} &= f_{m,a}\chi - 2\gamma_a\gamma_m f_m^2 \\ \Omega_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{2}(f_{c,a}[\gamma_b, \gamma_c] - f_{c,b}[\gamma_a, \gamma_c])\chi - (f_{m,b}\gamma_a - f_{m,a}\gamma_b)\gamma_m\chi \\ &\quad - [\gamma_a, \gamma_b]f_m^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f_c f_m(-2\gamma_a\gamma_c\gamma_b + 2\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_a + \gamma_c[\gamma_a, \gamma_b] + [\gamma_a, \gamma_b]\gamma_c) \\ E &= \chi f_{a,a} - (m-1)f_m^2 + 2(m-3)\gamma_a\gamma_m f_a f_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are looking for the terms with $f_m^2 f_{a,a}$, all derivatives with respect to the m -th coordinate are not considered. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{:a} &= -2f_m\gamma_a\gamma_m \\ \chi_{:ab} &= -2\gamma_a\gamma_m f_{m,b} + ([\gamma_c, \gamma_b]\gamma_a + \gamma_a[\gamma_c, \gamma_b])\gamma_m\chi f_c f_m + 4\delta_{ab}\chi f_m^2 \\ \chi_{:aa} &= -2\gamma_a\gamma_m f_{m,a} + 4(m-1)f_m^2\chi. \end{aligned}$$

Only four invariants contain $f_m^2 f_{a,a}$:

Invariant	Coefficient of $f_m^2 f_{a,a}$	Coefficient in a_5
$\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab}$	$8(m-2)$	w_{14}
χE^2	$-2(m-1)$	720
$\chi_{:a}E_{:a}$	$-2(m+1)$	-180
$\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}E$	$-4(m-1)$	-90

Since all coefficients before odd powers of f_i must vanish, $w_{14} = 90$. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.1 and thereby of the main result of this paper, Theorem 2.4. $\square$

A Appendix

We adopt the notation of §4. Let

$$\begin{aligned} M &= S^1 \times [0, 1], & \chi &:= \sqrt{-1}i, \\ A &:= a_0 + ia_1 + ja_2 + ka_3, & B &:= b_0 + ib_1 + jb_2 + kb_3, \\ P &:= i\partial_1 + j\partial_2 + A + B, & P^* &:= i\partial_1 + j\partial_2 + A - B, \\ D &= P^*P, \end{aligned}$$

A section f satisfies the boundary conditions ($\mathcal{B}f = 0$) if and only if we have $\Pi_- f|_{\partial M} = 0$ and $\Pi_- Pf|_{\partial M} = 0$.

Lemma A.1 *We have the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= a_1 - a_0i + b_3j - b_2k \text{ and } \omega_2 = a_2 - a_0j - b_3i + b_1k. \\ \Omega_{12} &= (\dot{a}_2 - \tilde{a}_1) + (-\dot{b}_3 + \tilde{a}_0 + 2b_3b_1 - 2a_0b_2)i + (-\dot{a}_0 - \tilde{b}_3 + 2a_0b_1 + 2b_2b_3)j \\ &\quad + (\dot{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_2 + 2a_0^2 + 2b_3^2)k. \\ E &= (\dot{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_2 + a_0^2 + a_3^2 + b_0^2 + b_3^2) + (-\dot{b}_0 - \tilde{a}_3 + 2a_3b_2 + 2b_0b_1)i \\ &\quad + (\dot{a}_3 - \tilde{b}_0 - 2a_3b_1 + 2b_0b_2)j + (-\dot{a}_2 + \tilde{a}_1 - 2a_0a_3 + 2b_0b_3)k. \\ \chi_{:1} &= \sqrt{-1}(-2b_3k - 2b_2j), \chi\chi_{:1} = 2(b_2k - b_3j) \text{ and } \chi_{:1}^2 = 4b_3^2 + 4b_2^2. \\ \chi_{:11} &= \sqrt{-1}((-4b_3^2 - 4b_2^2)i + (-2\dot{b}_2 - 4a_0b_3)j + (-2\dot{b}_3 + 4a_0b_2)k). \\ S &= \Pi_+(\sqrt{-1}a_3 + b_2). \\ S_{:1} &= \Pi_+(\sqrt{-1}\dot{a}_3 + \dot{b}_2) + \sqrt{-1}(\sqrt{-1}a_3 + b_2)(-b_3k - b_2j). \\ \chi_{:1}S - S\chi_{:1} &= 2(\sqrt{-1}a_3 + b_2)(b_3j - b_2k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We compute that:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= P^*P = -\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 + (iA + Ai + iB - Bi)\partial_1 + (jA + Aj + jB - Bj)\partial_2 \\ &\quad + i\dot{A} + i\dot{B} + j\dot{A} + j\dot{B} + AB - BA + A^2 - B^2 \\ \omega_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}(iA + Ai + iB - Bi) = a_1 - a_0i + b_3j - b_2k \\ \omega_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}(jA + Aj + jB - Bj) = a_2 - a_0j - b_3i + b_1k \\ \omega_1\omega_2 - \omega_2\omega_1 &= 2a_0^2k + 2a_0b_1j + 2b_3^2k + 2b_3b_1i - 2a_0b_2i + 2b_2b_3j \\ \Omega_{12} &= \partial_1\omega_2 - \partial_2\omega_1 + \omega_1\omega_2 - \omega_2\omega_1 \\ &= (\dot{a}_2 - \tilde{a}_1) + (-\dot{b}_3 + \tilde{a}_0 + 2b_3b_1 - 2a_0b_2)i + (-\dot{a}_0 - \tilde{b}_3 + 2a_0b_1 + 2b_2b_3)j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(\dot{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_2 + 2a_0^2 + 2b_3^2)k \\
E &= \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 \text{ for} \\
\mathcal{E}_1 &= -i\dot{A} - i\dot{B} - j\tilde{A} - j\tilde{B} - \dot{\omega}_1 - \tilde{\omega}_2 \\
&= -i\dot{A} - i\dot{B} + \frac{1}{2}(i\dot{A} + \dot{A}i + i\dot{B} - \dot{B}i) - j\tilde{A} - j\tilde{B} + \frac{1}{2}(j\tilde{A} + \tilde{A}j + j\tilde{B} - \tilde{B}j) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\dot{A}i - i\dot{A} - i\dot{B} - \dot{B}i) + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A}j - j\tilde{A} - j\tilde{B} - \tilde{B}j) \\
&= \dot{a}_3j - \dot{a}_2k + \dot{b}_1 - \dot{b}_0i - \tilde{a}_3i + \tilde{a}_1k + \tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_0j \\
\mathcal{E}_2 &= B^2 - A^2 + BA - AB - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 \\
&= b_0^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2 - b_3^2 + 2b_0b_1i + 2b_0b_2j + 2b_0b_3k \\
&\quad - a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 - 2a_0a_1i - 2a_0a_2j - 2a_0a_3k \\
&\quad + 2(a_2b_1 - a_1b_2)k + 2(a_3b_2 - a_2b_3)i + 2(a_1b_3 - a_3b_1)j \\
&\quad - a_1^2 + a_0^2 + b_3^2 + b_2^2 + 2a_1a_0i - 2a_1b_3j + 2a_1b_2k \\
&\quad - a_2^2 + a_0^2 + b_3^2 + b_1^2 + 2a_2a_0j + 2a_2b_3i - 2a_2b_1k \\
&= a_0^2 + a_3^2 + b_0^2 + b_3^2 - 2a_0a_3k + 2a_3b_2i - 2a_3b_1j \\
&\quad + 2b_0b_1i + 2b_0b_2j + 2b_0b_3k \\
\chi_{:1} &= \sqrt{-1}[\omega_1, i] = \sqrt{-1}[-a_0i + b_3j - b_2k, i] \\
&= 2\sqrt{-1}(-b_3k - b_2j) \\
\chi_{:11} &= \partial_1\chi_{:1} + [\omega_1, \chi_{:1}] \\
&= \sqrt{-1}[-2\dot{b}_3k - 2\dot{b}_2j - 4a_0b_3j + 4a_0b_2k - 4b_3^2i - 4b_2^2i].
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\Pi_- f = 0$ on the boundary. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_- Pf &= \Pi_-(i\partial_1 + j\partial_2 - j(jA + jB))f \\
&= j\Pi_+(\partial_2 + \omega_2 - \omega_2 - jA - jB)\Pi_+f \\
S &= \Pi_+(\frac{1}{2}(jA + Aj + jB - Bj) - jA - jB)\Pi_+ \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Pi_+(-jA + Aj - jB - Bj)\Pi_+ \\
&= \Pi_+(-a_3i + a_1k + b_2 - b_0j)\Pi_+ \\
&= \Pi_+(-ia_3 + b_2) = \Pi_+(\sqrt{-1}a_3 + b_2) \\
S_{:1} &= \Pi_+(\sqrt{-1}\dot{a}_3 + \dot{b}_2) + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{:1}(\sqrt{-1}a_3 + b_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The Lemma now follows. $\square$

If $\mathcal{E}$ is a local invariant, let $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{E}(D, \mathcal{B})) - \text{Tr}(\mathcal{E}(\hat{D}, \hat{B}))$. Then $\mu(a_n(1, \cdot))[\partial M] = 0$. We compute $\mu(\mathcal{E})$ for the terms appearing in the formula for a_5 contained in §4. We organize these terms into two lemmas to group the data involved. In Lemma A.2, we determine the terms which are bilinear in A and B or which involve $\tilde{a}_0a_0b_2$. In Lemma A.3, we study terms in a_3 and in the jets of B .

Lemma A.2 *Terms which are bilinear in A and B , and the term $a_0b_2\tilde{a}_0\mathcal{J}$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\Omega_{12}^2) &= 2(\tilde{a}_0\dot{b}_3 - \dot{a}_0\tilde{b}_3)\mathcal{J} + 4a_0b_2\tilde{a}_0\mathcal{J} + \dots & (w_8) \\
\mu(\chi\Omega_{12}^2) &= 2\{\dot{a}_2\dot{b}_3 - \tilde{a}_1\dot{b}_3\}\mathcal{K} + \dots & (w_9) \\
\mu(\chi\Omega_{12}\chi\Omega_{12}) &= (2\tilde{a}_0\dot{b}_3 + 2\dot{a}_0\tilde{b}_3 + 4a_0b_2\tilde{a}_0)\mathcal{J} + \dots & (w_{10}) \\
\mu(\chi E^2) &= (2\tilde{a}_3\dot{b}_1 + 2\tilde{a}_3\tilde{b}_2)\mathcal{K} + \dots & (720) \\
\mu(\chi E\chi E) &= -2(\tilde{a}_3\dot{b}_0 + \dot{a}_3\tilde{b}_0)\mathcal{J} + \dots & (w_2) \\
\mu(E^2) &= 2(-\tilde{a}_3\dot{b}_0 + \dot{a}_3\tilde{b}_0)\mathcal{J} + \dots & (w_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\chi_{:11}E) &= 2(\dot{a}_3\dot{b}_2 - \dot{a}_2\dot{b}_3 + \tilde{a}_1\dot{b}_3)\mathcal{K} + \dots & (240 - w_{18} + w_{21}) \\
\mu(\chi_{:11}\chi_{:11}) &= 0 + \dots & (w_{34} + w_{35} - w_{37}) \\
\mu(\chi E_{:22}) &= 2(-\partial_2^2(a_3b_2) + 2b_1\partial_2\partial_1a_3 + \dot{a}_3\tilde{b}_1)\mathcal{K} + \dots & (360) \\
\mu\{S_{:1}S_{:1}\} &= \dot{a}_3\dot{b}_2\mathcal{K} + \dots & (-360) \\
\mu(SE_{:2}) &= \frac{1}{2}(a_3\partial_1\partial_2b_1 + a_3\partial_2^2b_2 + b_2\partial^2a_3)\mathcal{K} & (1440) \\
&\quad (-\frac{1}{2}a_3\partial_1\partial_2b_0 + \tilde{a}_0a_0b_2)\mathcal{J} + \dots \\
\mu(S^2E) &= 0 + \dots & (2880) \\
\mu(S^4) &= 0 + \dots & (1440) \\
\mu(\Pi_-S_{:1}S_{:1}) &= 0 + \dots & (-2w_4) \\
\mu(\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E) &= 0 + \dots & (w_{19}) \\
\mu(\chi\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E) &= 0 + \dots & (w_{20}) \\
\mu(\chi_{:1}^4) &= 0 + \dots & (w_{32} + w_{33}) \\
\mu((S\chi_{:1} - \chi_{:1}S)\Omega_{12}) &= 0 + \dots & (w_{11}) \\
\mu((\chi\chi_{:1}\Omega_{12:2})) &= -2b_3\partial_2\partial_1a_0\mathcal{J} - 12a_0b_2\tilde{a}_0\mathcal{J} + \dots & (w_{15})
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.3 $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = 0$, let a_3 be constant, and let $B = B(x_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\Omega_{12}^2) &= (4\dot{b}_3b_3b_1 - 4\dot{b}_1b_3^2)\mathcal{J} & (w_8) \\
\mu(\chi\Omega_{12}^2) &= 0. & (w_9 = 180) \\
\mu((\chi\Omega_{12})^2) &= (4\dot{b}_3b_3b_1 + 4\dot{b}_1b_3^2)\mathcal{J} & (w_{10}) \\
\mu((\chi E)^2) &= \{2\dot{b}_1(a_3^2 + b_0^2 + b_3^2) - 4(-\dot{b}_0 + 2a_3b_2)b_0b_1 \\
&\quad - 8a_3b_1b_0b_2\}\mathcal{J} & (w_2) \\
\mu(E^2) &= \{2\dot{b}_1(a_3^2 + b_0^2 + b_3^2) + 4\dot{b}_0b_0b_1\}\mathcal{J} & (w_1) \\
\mu(\chi E^2) &= \{-2(a_3^2 + b_0^2 + b_3^2)(-\dot{b}_0 + 2a_3b_2) \\
&\quad - 4\dot{b}_1b_0b_1\}\mathcal{K} & (720) \\
\mu(\chi_{:11}E) &= \{4(-\dot{b}_0 + 2a_3b_2)(b_3^2 + b_2^2) + 4b_0b_2\dot{b}_2 \\
&\quad + 4b_0b_3\dot{b}_3\}\mathcal{K} & (240 - w_{18} + w_{21}) \\
\mu(\chi_{:11}\chi_{:11}) &= 0 & (w_{34} + w_{35} - w_{37}) \\
\mu(\chi E_{:22}) &= (-4\dot{b}_1^2b_0 + 8\dot{b}_1^2a_3b_2)\mathcal{K} & (360) \\
\mu\{S_{:1}S_{:1}\} &= \{2a_3b_2(b_3^2 + b_2^2)\}\mathcal{K} & (-360) \\
\mu(SE_{:2}) &= \{-2a_3b_1^2b_2\}\mathcal{K} - \{2a_3b_0b_1b_2\}\mathcal{J} & (1440) \\
\mu(S^2E) &= \{\frac{1}{2}(b_2^2 - a_3^2)\dot{b}_1 + 2a_3b_0b_1b_2\}\mathcal{J} & (2880) \\
&\quad \{-\frac{1}{2}(b_2^2 - a_3^2)(-\dot{b}_0 + 2a_3b_2) + a_3b_2(a_3^2 + b_0^2 + b_3^2)\}\mathcal{K} \\
\mu(S^4) &= (2a_3b_2^3 - 2a_3^3b_2)\mathcal{K} & (1440) \\
\mu(\Pi_-S_{:1}S_{:1}) &= a_3b_2(b_3^2 + b_2^2)\mathcal{K} & (-2w_4) \\
\mu(\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E) &= (4b_3^2 + 4b_2^2)\dot{b}_1\mathcal{J} & (w_{19}) \\
\mu(\chi\chi_{:1}\chi_{:1}E) &= -4(b_3^2 + b_2^2)(-\dot{b}_0 + 2a_3b_2)\mathcal{K} & (w_{20}) \\
\mu(\chi_{:1}^4) &= 0. & (w_{32} + w_{33}) \\
\mu((S\chi_{:1} - \chi_{:1}S)\Omega_{12}) &= -2\dot{b}_2^2\dot{b}_1\mathcal{J} & (w_{11}) \\
\mu(\chi\chi_{:1}\Omega_{12:2}) &= -4b_3b_3b_1 + 4\dot{b}_1b_3^2. & (w_{15})
\end{aligned}$$

B Appendix

Let $ds^2(\epsilon) = e^{2\epsilon F} ds^2$ define a conformal variation. In this appendix, we summarize the computation of the conformal variations we needed in Section 6. We integrate by parts where necessary to bring the variations into standard form so that F is not differentiated with respect to tangential coordinates. We will be dealing with terms which are homogeneous of order 4. If F is constant, then $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0} X = -4FX$. To avoid writing the conformal weight term repeatedly, we define $\mathcal{L}X := \frac{d}{d\epsilon}X|_{\epsilon=0} + 4FX$. Note that $\mathcal{L}X = 0$ if X only involves χ and Ω terms; consequently these terms have been omitted in the interests of brevity.

	Term	$\mathcal{L}$
w_1	E^2	$(m-2)(FE_{:aa} - F_{;m}EL_{aa} + F_{;mm}E)$
w_2	$\chi E \chi E$	$(m-2)(FE_{:aa} - F_{;m}EL_{aa} + F_{;mm}E)$
w_3	$S_{:a}S_{:a}$	$2FS_{:a}S_{:a} + 2FSS_{:aa} - (m-2)F_{;m}S_{:aa}$ $+ \frac{m-2}{2}F_{;m}\chi_{:a}S_{:a}$
w_4	$\chi S_{:a}S_{:a}$	$2FS_{:a}S_{:a} + 2FSS_{:aa} - (m-2)F_{;m}S_{:aa}$
w_{11}	$\Omega_{am}[\chi, S_{:a}]$	$\frac{m-2}{2}F_{;m}\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}$
w_{12}	$\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}L_{cc}$	$-(m-1)F_{;m}\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}$
w_{15}	$\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am;m}$	$F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab} - F\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab;b} - \frac{1}{2}F\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab}$ $+ \frac{1}{2}F\chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab} - 2\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}F_{;m}$
w_{16}	$\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab;b}$	$(m-5)F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab} - (m-5)\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab;b}$ $+ \frac{m-5}{2}F(-\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} + \chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab})$
w_{17}	$\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{bm}L_{ab}$	$-\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{am}F_{;m}$
w_{18}	$\chi_{:a}E_{:a}$	$2F\chi_{:aa}E + 2F\chi_{:a}E_{:a}$
w_{19}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}E$	$\frac{m-2}{2}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}F_{;mm} - \frac{m-2}{2}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{cc}F_{;m}$ $+ (m-2)\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab}F + (m-2)\chi_{:bba}\chi_{:a}F$ $+ 4(m-2)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab}F - 2(m-2)\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab;b}F$ $- (m-2)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}F(-\rho_{ab} + R_{mbam} - L_{ab}L_{cc} + L_{ac}L_{bc})$
w_{20}	$\chi\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}E$	0
w_{21}	$\chi_{:aa}E$	$-(m-3)F\chi_{:aa}E - (m-3)F\chi_{:a}E_{:a}$
w_{22}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}\tau$	$-2(m-1)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}F_{;mm} + 2(m-1)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{cc}F_{;m}$ $- 4(m-1)\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab}F - 4(m-1)\chi_{:bba}\chi_{:a}F$ $- 16(m-1)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab}F + 8(m-1)\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab;b}F$ $+ 4(m-1)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}F(R_{mbam} - \rho_{ab} - L_{ab}L_{cc} + L_{ac}L_{bc})$
w_{23}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}\rho_{mm}$	$-(m-1)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}F_{;mm} + \chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{cc}F_{;m} - 2\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab}F$ $- 2\chi_{:bba}\chi_{:a}F - 8\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab}F + 4\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab;b}F$ $+ 2F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}(-\rho_{ab} + R_{mbam} - L_{ab}L_{cc} + L_{ac}L_{bc})$

w_{24}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}\rho_{ab}$	$ \begin{aligned} & -\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}F_{;mm} + \chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{cc}F_{;m} + (m-2)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}F_{;m} \\ & -m\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab}F + 2(1-m)\chi_{:aab}\chi_{:b}F \\ & -(2m+4)F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab} - (m-2)\chi_{:aa}\chi_{:bb}F \\ & +4F\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab:b} - (m-2)F\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} \\ & +(m-2)F\chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab} \\ & +mF\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}[-\rho_{ab} + R_{mbam} - L_{ab}L_{cc} + L_{ac}L_{bc}] \end{aligned} $
w_{25}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}R_{mabm}$	$ \begin{aligned} & -\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}F_{;mm} + \chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}F_{;m} - 2F\chi_{:aab}\chi_{:b} \\ & -2F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab} - F\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab} - F\chi_{:aa}\chi_{:bb} - F\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} \\ & +F\chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab} + F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}[-\rho_{ab} + R_{mbam} - L_{ab}L_{cc} \\ & +L_{ac}L_{bc}] \end{aligned} $
w_{26}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{bb}L_{cc}$	$-2(m-1)F_{;m}L_{cc}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}$
w_{27}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ac}L_{bc}$	$-2\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}F_{;m}$
w_{28}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{cd}L_{cd}$	$-2F_{;m}L_{cc}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}$
w_{29}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}L_{cc}$	$-\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{cc}F_{;m} - (m-1)\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}F_{;m}$
w_{30}	$\chi_{:a}S_{:a}L_{bb}$	$\frac{1}{4}(m-2)F_{;m}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}L_{cc} - (m-1)F_{;m}\chi_{:a}S_{:a}$
w_{31}	$\chi_{:a}S_{:b}L_{ab}$	$-\chi_{:a}S_{:a}F_{;m} + \frac{1}{4}(m-2)F_{;m}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}L_{ab}$
w_{34}	$\chi_{:aa}\chi_{:bb}$	$-2(m-3)F\chi_{:aa}\chi_{:bb} - 2(m-3)F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:bba}$
w_{35}	$\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab}$	$ \begin{aligned} & 4F\chi_{:ab}\chi_{:ab} + 2F\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} - 2F\chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab} \\ & -2F\chi_{:aa}\chi_{:bb} + 2F\chi_{:b}\chi_{:aab} - 4F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}[-\rho_{ab} + R_{mbam} \\ & -L_{ab}L_{cc} + L_{ac}L_{bc}] + 12F\chi_{:a}\chi_{:b}\Omega_{ab} - 4F\chi\chi_{:a}\Omega_{ab:b} \end{aligned} $
w_{36}	$\chi_{:a}\chi_{:a}\chi_{:bb}$	0
w_{37}	$\chi_{:b}\chi_{:aab}$	$(m-1)F\chi_{:b}\chi_{:aab} + (m-1)F\chi_{:bb}\chi_{:aa}$

C Appendix

In the proof of Lemma 7.1, we used the local index theorem. We list in this appendix the terms giving non-zero contributions to $a_5(D^{[1]}) - a_5(D^{[0]})$. Coefficients of corresponding invariants are given on the right hand side in the formulae below. Let a comma denote ordinary partial differentiation. The terms with $f_{,m}f_{,mmm}$ are dropped since they are not needed for the calculations. We omit the factor of $\text{Tr}_V I_V$.

Coef	Term	
1440	$E_{;m}S$	$ \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2(\frac{1}{2}(m^2-8)f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} \\ & -f_{,am}f_{,am} - \frac{1}{2}(m^2-4)f_{,m}^4) \end{aligned} $
480	τS^2	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3f_{,m}^2(-2f_{,mm} - (m-2)f_{,m}^2)$
270	$\tau_{;m}S$	$(m-1)^2(f_{,am}f_{,am} - (m-4)f_{,mm}f_{,m}^2 + (m-2)f_{,m}^4)$
120	$\rho_{mm}S^2$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$
1080	$SS_{:aa}$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2(f_{,am}f_{,am} + \frac{1}{2}m^2(m-1)f_{,m}^4)$
2880	ES^2	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3(f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + \frac{1}{4}(m^2-4)f_{,m}^4)$
1440	S^4	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^4f_{,m}^4$

Coef	Term	
270	$L_{aa}E_{;m}$	$-(m-1)^2(2(m-3)f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} - 2(m-2)f_{,m}^4 - f_{,am}f_{,am})$
270	$L_{bb}S_{;aa}$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2f_{,ma}f_{,ma}$
1440	$L_{bb}SE$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3(f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + \frac{1}{4}(m^2-4)f_{,m}^4)$
30	$L_{bb}S\rho_{mm}$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$
240	$L_{bb}S\tau$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3(2f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + (m-2)f_{,m}^4)$
-60	$L_{ab}\rho_{ab}S$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2(-f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + (2-m)f_{,m}^4)$
180	$L_{ab}SR_{ammb}$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$
45	$L_{aa}L_{bb}E$	$(m-1)^3(f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + (m-2)f_{,m}^4)$
90	$L_{ab}L_{ab}E$	$(m-1)^2(f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + (m-2)f_{,m}^4)$
2160	$L_{bb}S^3$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^4f_{,m}^4$
1080	$L_{aa}L_{bb}S^2$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^4f_{,m}^4$
360	$L_{ab}L_{ab}S^2$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3f_{,m}^4$
$\frac{885}{4}$	$L_{aa}L_{bb}L_{cc}S$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^4f_{,m}^4$
$\frac{315}{2}$	$L_{cc}L_{ab}L_{ab}S$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^3f_{,m}^4$
150	$L_{ab}L_{bc}L_{ca}S$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2f_{,m}^4$
w_{12}	$\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{am}L_{bb}$	$2(m-1)^3f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$
w_{13}	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\Omega_{ab}$	$2(m-1)^2(m-2)(m^2 + (m-2)^2)f_{,m}^4$
90	$\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{am;m}$	$2(m-1)(2(m-1)f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + f_{,am}f_{,am})$
120	$\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{ab;b}$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-2)((m^2 - (m-2)^2)f_{,am}f_{,am} + (m-1)(m^4 - (m-2)^4)f_{,m}^4)$
w_{17}	$\chi\chi_{;a}\Omega_{bm}L_{ab}$	$2(m-1)^2f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$
-180	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}E$	$(m-1)^2(m^2f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + \frac{1}{4}(m^2(m^2-4) - (m-2)^4)f_{,m}^4)$
-30	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}\tau$	$-4(m-1)^3(2f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + (m-2)f_{,m}^4)$
0	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}\rho_{mm}$	$-4(m-1)^3f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$
-60	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\rho_{ab}$	$-4(m-1)^2(f_{,m}^2f_{,mm} + (m-2)f_{,m}^4)$
30	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}R_{mabm}$	$-4(m-1)^2f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$
$\frac{255}{4}$	$\chi_{;aa}\chi_{;bb}$	$(m-1)(4f_{,am}f_{,am} + (m-1)(m^4 - (m-2)^4)f_{,m}^4)$
$-\frac{105}{2}$	$\chi_{;ab}\chi_{;ab}$	$(m-1)(4(m-1)f_{,am}f_{,am} + (m^4 - (m-2)^4)f_{,m}^4)$
$\frac{15}{4}$	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\chi_{;b}$	$(m-1)^2(m^4 - (m-2)^4)f_{,m}^4$
$\frac{15}{8}$	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}$	$-(m-1)(m-3)(m^4 - (m-2)^4)f_{,m}^4$
$-\frac{675}{8}$	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}L_{ab}L_{cc}$	$4(m-1)^3f_{,m}^4$
$-\frac{675}{32}$	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}L_{bb}L_{cc}$	$4(m-1)^4f_{,m}^4$
$-\frac{75}{4}$	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;b}L_{ac}L_{cb}$	$4(m-1)^2f_{,m}^4$
$-\frac{195}{16}$	$\chi_{;a}\chi_{;a}L_{cd}L_{cd}$	$4(m-1)^3f_{,m}^4$
-330	$\chi_{;a}S_{;a}L_{bb}$	$-\frac{1}{2}m^2(m-1)^3f_{,m}^4$
-300	$\chi_{;a}S_{;b}L_{ab}$	$-\frac{1}{2}m^2(m-1)^2f_{,m}^4$
720	$\chi S_{;a}S_{;a}$	$\frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2f_{,am}f_{,am}$

Coef	Term	
0	$\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} + \chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab}$	$-\frac{1}{2}(m-1)(m-2)(m^4 - (m-2)^4)f_{,m}^4$
$\frac{1}{2}(w_5 - w_7)$	$\Omega_{ab}\Omega_{ab} - \chi\Omega_{ab}\chi\Omega_{ab}$	$-4(m-1)(m-2)f_{,am}f_{,am}$
-45	$\Omega_{am}\Omega_{am} + \chi\Omega_{am}\chi\Omega_{am}$	$-2(m-1)(m-2)f_{,am}f_{,am}$
-360	$\Omega_{am}\chi S_{:a} - \Omega_{am}S_{:a}\chi$	$-\frac{1}{2}m^2(m-1)^2f_{,m}^2f_{,mm}$

References

- [1] T.P. Branson and P.B. Gilkey, *The asymptotics of the Laplacian on a manifold with boundary*, Commun. Partial Diff. Eq. **15** (1990), 245–272.
- [2] —, *Residues of the eta function for an operator of Dirac type with local boundary conditions*, Diff. Geom. and its Applications **2** (1992), 249–267.
- [3] T.P. Branson, P.B. Gilkey and D.V. Vassilevich, *The asymptotics of the Laplacian on a manifold with boundary II*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (7) **11B** Suppl. Fasc. 2 (1997), 39–67, hep-th/9504029.
- [4] T.P. Branson, P.B. Gilkey and D.V. Vassilevich, *Vacuum expectation value asymptotics for second order differential operators on manifolds with boundary*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998), 1040–1049.
- [5] J.S. Dowker, J.S. Apps, K. Kirsten and M. Bordag, *Spectral invariants for the Dirac operator on the d ball with various boundary conditions*, Class. Quantum Grav. **13** (1996), 2911–2920.
- [6] J.S. Dowker and K. Kirsten, *Smeared heat-kernel coefficients on the ball and generalized cone*, hep-th/9803094.
- [7] J.S. Dowker and K. Kirsten, *Spinors and forms on the ball and the generalized cone*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry **7** (1999), 451–489.
- [8] E. Elizalde, M. Lygren and D.V. Vassilevich, *Zeta function for the Laplace operator acting on forms in a ball with gauge boundary conditions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **183** (1997), 645–660.
- [9] G. Esposito, A. Kamenshchik and G. Pollifrone, *Euclidean Quantum Gravity on Manifolds with Boundary*, Kluwer, 1997 ISBN: 0-7923-4472-3.
- [10] G. Kennedy, R. Critchley, and J.S. Dowker, *Finite temperature field theory with boundaries: stress tensor and surface action renormalization*, Ann. Phys. **125** (1980), 346–400.

- [11] P. Greiner, *An asymptotic expansion for the heat equation*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **41** (1971), 163–218.
- [12] G. Grubb, *Functional Calculus of Pseudo-differential Boundary Problems*, Progress in Math. **65**, Birkhäuser 1986.
- [13] K. Kirsten, *The a_5 heat kernel coefficient on a manifold with boundary*, Class. Quantum Grav. **15** (1998), L5–L12.
- [14] H.P. McKean and I.M. Singer, *Curvature and eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Diff. Geo. **1** (1967), 43–69.
- [15] I. Moss and J.S. Dowker, *The correct B_4 coefficient*, Phys. Letters B **229** (1989), 261–263.
- [16] R.T. Seeley, *Singular integrals and boundary problems*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 781–809.
- [17] E. Sezgin, *Topics in M-theory*, Contribution to the Abdus Salam Memorial Meeting, hep-th/9809204.
- [18] D.V. Vassilevich, *Vector fields on a disk with mixed boundary conditions*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995), 3174–3182.
- [19] D.V. Vassilevich, *Heat kernel for antisymmetric tensor field on a disk*, Phys. Lett. B **348** (1995), 39–43.

T. Branson, Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City IA 52242 USA. EMAIL: branson@math.uiowa.edu

P. Gilkey, Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403 USA. EMAIL: gilkey@darkwing.uoregon.edu

K. Kirsten, Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, England. EMAIL: klaus@a13.ph.man.ac.uk

D. Vassilevich, Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10, 04109 Leipzig, Germany. EMAIL: vassil@itp.uni-leipzig.de